Case 3:08-cv-03079-WHA

06/25/2008

JS 44 - CAND (Rev. 11/04)

### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRICTIONS ON PAGE TWO.)

| the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating | ng the civil docket sheet. (            | SEE INST                   | RUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO                                      | J.)   |   |
|--|---|----------------------------|---|---|---|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS                                | DEFENDANTS                              |                            |   |   |   |
| FIREMAN'S FUND INSURANCE COMPANY,                |   |                            | DISCOVER PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE                    |   |   |
|  |   |                            | COMPANY and DOES 1 through 10                             |   |   |
|  |   |                            |   |   |   |
|  |   |                            |   |   |   |
|  |   |                            |   |   |   |
| (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED F        | PLAINTIFF Marin Co                      | untv                       | COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIR                                | RST LISTED DEFENDANT                          |   |
| (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINT                           |   |                            | (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)                            |   |   |
| (  | ,                                       |                            | NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE |   |   |
|  |   |                            | TRACT OF LAND IN  | IVOLVED.                                      |   |
| (C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND T         | FELEPHONE NUMBER)                       | , , , ,                    | ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)                                      | ,   |   |
| KENNEY & MARKOWITZ L.L                           | .P.                                     |                            |   |   |   |
| 255 California Street                            |   |                            |   |   |   |
| Suite 1300                                       |   |                            |   |   |   |
| San Francisco, CA 941                            | 11                                      |                            |   |   |   |
| 415.397.3100                                     |   |                            |   |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·             |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN              | N 'X' IN ONE BOX ONLY)                  |                            | ENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL                                       |   | X' IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF                       |
| 1 U.S. Government 3 Federal 0                    | Question                                | (For o                     | diversity cases only)                                     | AND ONE BO                                    | OX FOR DEFENDANT)                                 |
|  | Government Not a Party)                 |                            | PTF DEF   |   | PTF DEF   |
| 2 U.S. Government X 4 Diversity                  |   | Citizen of T               | This State X 1 1  | Incorporated or Princi<br>of Business In This |   |
| Defendant (Indicat                               | te Citizenship of Parties in            | Citizen of A               | Another State 2 2   | 2 Incorporated and Prin                       | cipal Place 5 5                                   |
| nem m  | 1                                       | Citings or S               | Subject of a 3  | of Business In Anot                           | her State   |
|  |   | Citizen or S<br>Foreign Co |   | 3 Foreign Nation                              | 6 6   |
| IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX              | K ONLY)                                 |                            |   |   |   |
| X 1 Original 2 Removed from                      | 3 Remanded from                         | 4 Reinstat                 |   |   | 7 Appeal to District                              |
| Proceeding State Court                           | Appellate Court                         | Reopen                     | ed Another district (specify)                             | Litigation                                    | Judge from Magistrate Judgment                    |
| V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X                   | (" IN ONE BOX ONLY)                     |                            | (500.17)  |   | - Casanana  |
| CONTRACT   | TORTS                                   |                            | FORFEITURE/PENALTY  | BANKRUPTCY                                    | OTHER STATUTES                                    |
| X 110 Insurince PERSONAL I                       |   | JURY                       | 610 Agriculture   | 422 Appeal 28 USC 158                         | 400 State Reapportionmen                          |
| 120 Marino 310 Air                               |   | onal Injury                | 620 Other Food & Drug                                     |   | 410 Antitrust                                     |
|  |   | Malpractice<br>onal Injury | 625 Drug Related  | 423 Withdrawal<br>28 USC 157                  | 430 Benks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. |
| 2 Enforcement of                                 | sault Libel & Prod                      | luct Liability             | Seizure of Property 21 USC 881                            | DOODEDTY DIGUTE                               | 460 Deportation                                   |
| Judgment   |   | estos Persona              | Coo Haward awa  | PROPERTY RIGHTS                               | 470 Recketeer Influenced and                      |
|  | deral Employers Injury Pr<br>ability    | roduct Liability           | 640 RR & Truck  | 820 Copyrights                                | Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit         |
| Student Loans (Excl 340 Ma                       | arine PERSONAL PR                       | ROPERTY                    | 650 Airline Regs  | 830 Patent                                    | 490 Coble/Satellite TV                            |
|  | arine Product ability 370 Othe          | er Fraud                   | 660 Occupational  | 840 Trademark                                 | 810 Selective Service                             |
| of Veteran's Benefits                            | ======================================= | h in Lending               | Safety/Health<br>690 Other                                | SOCIAL SECURITY                               | 850 Securities/Commodities/                       |
| 160 Stockholders Suits                           | otor Vehicle 380 Othe                   | r Personal                 | LABOR   | 861 HIA (1395ff)                              | Exchange  875 Customer Challenge                  |
| 195 Contract Product Liability                   |   | erty Damage<br>erty Demage |   | 862 Black Lung (923)                          | 12 USC 3410                                       |
| 196 Franchisa 360 Ott                            | inorrorsonating ary —                   | luct Liability             | 710 Fair Labor Standards Act                              | 863 DIWC/DIWW                                 | 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization  |
| REAL PROPERTY CIVIL                              | RIGHTS PRISONER                         | PETITIONS                  | 720 Labor/Mgmt Relations                                  | (405(g))                                      | Act   |
| 210 Land Condemnation 441 Vo                     |   | tion to Vacate             | 730 Labor/Mgmt  | 864 SSID Title XVI                            | 893 Environmental Matters                         |
| 220 Foreclosure 442 Em                           |   | tence<br>Corpus:           | Reporting &   | FEDERAL TAX SUITS                             | 894 Energy Allocation Act                         |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 444 Wa                | elfare 530 Gen                          |                            | Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act                      | 870 Taxes (US Plaintiff                       | Information Act                                   |
| 240 Torts to Land 440 Ott                        | SAO Mar                                 | ith Penaity<br>idamus &    | 790 Other Labor Litigation                                | or Defendant)                                 | 900 Appeal of Fee                                 |
| 245 Test Product Liebility 445 Am                | ner w/ disab - 540 Mar<br>npl Oth       |                            | 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.                                       | 871 IRS - Third Party                         | Determination Under<br>Equal Access to Justice    |
| 200 All Other Beat Bernards                      | 650 Civi                                |                            | Security Act  | 26 USC 7609                                   | 950 Constitutionality of                          |
|  | her 666 Pris                            | on Condition               |   |   | State Statutes                                    |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE                    | F US CIVIL STATUTE UN                   | DER WHIC                   | H YOU ARE FILING AND                                      | WRITE BRIEF STATEM                            | ENT OF CAUSE. DO NOT                              |
| CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES                     |   |                            | , CO MILL I LANG MID                                      | Division of ATEM                              | or ender bollo!                                   |
|  |   |                            |   |   |   |
| VIII DECLISOTED III                              |   |                            |   | 01150111150                                   |   |
| 00115: 4:::=                                     | IF THIS IS A CLASS ACT                  | ION DEM                    | AND\$ 732,000   |   | ly if demanded in complaint:                      |
|  | F.R.C.P. 23                             |                            |   | JURY DEMAND                                   | X YES NO  |
| VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY                     | PLEASE REFER TO CIV                     | IL L.R. 3-1                | 2 CONCERNING REQUIR                                       | EMENT TO FILE                                 |   |
|  | "NOTICE OF RELATED                      | CASE".                     | -   |   |   |
| IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIV                   | •                                       |                            |   |   |   |
| (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ON                      | NLY)                                    |                            | SAN FRANCISCO/OAK   | LAND SAN J                                    | OSE   |
| DATEJune , 2008                                  | SIGNATURE C                             | F ATTOR                    | NEY OF RECORD DA  | AVID W. GORDO                                 | N   |

|                              |   | THED   |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| 1<br>2<br>3                  | DAVID W. GORDON (SBN 71003) KENNEY & MARKOWITZ L.L.P. 255 California Street, Suite 1300 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 397-3100 Facsimile: (415) 397-3170 | OBJUN 25 PH 3: 36  ORDER STREET OF STREET ST |
| 4                            | Attorneys for Plaintiff   |  |
| 5                            | FIREMAN'S FUND INSURANCE COMPANY  |  |
| 6                            |   |  |
| 7                            | UNITED STATES D   | ISTRICT COURT  |
| $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ | NORTHERN DISTRIC  | T OF CALIFORNIA  |
| 9                            | SAN FRANCISO  | CO DIVISION  |
| 10                           |   | 7 00 0000  |
| 11                           | FIREMAN'S FUND INSURANCE  | $V_{\text{CASE}} = 3079$   |
| 12                           | COMPANY,  | BZ   |
| 13<br>14                     | Plaintiff,  | COMPLAINT FOR CONTRIBUTION,<br>SUBROGATION, INDEMNITY AND<br>DECLARATORY RELIEF  |
|                              |   | DECLARATOR I RELIEF  |
| 15                           | DISCOVER PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,   |  |
| 16                           | Defendant.  |  |
| 17                           |   |  |
| 18                           |   |  |
| 19                           | PLAINTIFF FIREMAN'S FUND INSURANCE  | COMPANY ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:  |
| 20                           | THE PAI   | RTIES  |
| 21                           | 1. Plaintiff Fireman's Fund Insurance   | Company ("Fireman's Fund") is now and at al  |
| 22                           | times mentioned in this complaint has been a corp   | poration licensed to do business in the State of   |
| 23                           | California.   |  |
| 24                           | 2. Defendant Discover Property & Cas  | sualty Insurance Company ("Discover") is nov   |
| 25                           | and at all times mentioned in this complaint has  | been an insurer licensed to do business in the   |
| 26                           | State of California.  |  |
| 27                           |   |  |

-1-COMPLAINT CASE NO:

Kenney & Markowitz L.L.P.

28

{60013.601161 0125438.DOC}

# 2

## 4

5

## 6

7

## 8

# 9

## 11 12

## 13

## 14

## 15

## 16 17

## 18

## 19

## 20

## 2122

# 2324

## 25

#### \_

## 26

27

#### Kenney & Markowitz L.L.P.

28

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Fireman's Fund is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California, having its principal place of business in Novato, California.
- 4. Discover is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Illinois, having its principal place of business in Chicago, Illinois.
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332 in that the matter in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different states.
- 6. Personal jurisdiction over Discover exists in this Court on the basis that Discover is licensed to do business in the State of California, including in the Northern District of California.
- 7. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(c) in that Discover is deemed to reside in any judicial district in which it is subject to personal jurisdiction.

### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. On or about June 26, 2004, Margaret Melhado ("Melhado") sustained personal injuries when she fell while attempting to attend a book signing event sponsored by Esowon Books, Inc. ("Esowon") involving former president Bill Clinton's appearance at Esowon's Los Angeles, California store. Esowon's Los Angeles store is in a strip mall owned by Baldwin Hills Investors, Ltd. ("Baldwin Hills").
- 9. On February 24, 2006, Melhado filed a complaint for damages in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC327107, naming Baldwin Hills, Esowon and others as defendants. This action is referred to hereafter as "the *Melhado* action."
- 10. Baldwin Hills and Esowon were parties to a lease ("the Lease"), which was in effect on June 26, 2004. In the Lease, Esowon agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless Baldwin Hills against any and all claims arising out of Esowon's use of the premises and from any activity permitted by Esowon. Esowon also agreed in the Lease to name Baldwin Hills as an additional insured under its commercial general liability ("CGL") insurance policy issued by Discover, Policy No. D 177 B00604. The Lease provided, inter alia:

{60013.601161 0125438.DOC}

-2-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Markowitz L.L.P.

28

- 16. HOLD HARMLESS AND INDEMNITY. Tenant shall hold Landlord harmless and indemnified at all times against any claims, loss, damages, cost or expense, including reasonable attorneys' fees, by reason of Tenant's failure to perform any obligation to be performed by Tenant under the terms of this Lease or from Tenant's use of the demised premises or from any activity, work or things done or permitted by Tenant, its contractors, agents, employees, licensees or invitees in or about the demised premises or elsewhere. Tenant covenants and agrees that in case Landlord shall without fault on its part be made a party to any litigation commenced by or against Tenant, then Tenant shall pay all costs and expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred by or imposed on Landlord by or in connection with such litigation, and also shall pay all costs and expenses, including attorneys' fees, which may be incurred by Landlord in enforcing any of the covenants and agreements of this Lease, and all such costs, expenses and attorneys' fees shall, if paid by Landlord herein, be so much additional rent due on the next rent date after such payment or payments. (Emphasis added.)
- 11. Fireman's Fund issued to Baldwin Hills as a named insured CGL Policy No. MZX 80819489, effective from October 1, 2003, to October 1, 2004 ("the Fireman's Fund policy"). Under the terms of the Fireman's Fund policy, Fireman's Fund was to provide Baldwin Hills with a defense and indemnification against certain liability suits, subject to the policy terms, conditions and exclusions and subject to other insurance.
- 12. At all relevant times, Esowon was insured under a CGL policy, Discover Policy No. D 177 B00604 ("the Discover policy"). Pursuant to the Lease, the Discover policy named Baldwin Hills as an additional insured. Under the terms of the Discover policy, Discover was required to provide Baldwin Hills with a defense and indemnification in the *Melhado* action.
- 13. The Discover policy also included contractual liability coverage for Esowon's obligations under the Lease to defend, indemnify and hold harmless Baldwin Hills.
- 14. Melhado's accident and personal injuries were sustained while the Discover policy was in effect.
- 15. Discover was aware of and had notice of the pendency of the *Melhado* action. Fireman's Fund tendered the defense and indemnification of Baldwin Hills in the *Melhado* action to Discover.
  - 16. Discover refused to defend or indemnify Baldwin Hills in the Melhado action.
- 17. Fireman's Fund provided Baldwin Hills with a defense and indemnity in the *Melhado* action. Fireman's Fund paid \$700,000 in settlement of the *Melhado* action and paid over

| {60013.601161 0125438.DOC | {60013.601 | 161 | 0125438.DOC |
|---------------------------|------------|-----|-------------|
|---------------------------|------------|-----|-------------|

3 4

6

7

5

8 9

11

10

13

12

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

Kenney 27 Markowitz L.L.P. 28

\$32,000 to defend that action.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Equitable Subrogation)

- 18. Fireman's Fund realleges Paragraphs 1 through 17 of this complaint inclusive, and incorporates those paragraphs by reference as though fully set forth in this claim for relief.
- 19. The coverage provided to Baldwin Hills under Discover's policy and certificate of insurance is primary to the coverage provided Baldwin Hills under the Fireman's Fund policy.
- 20. Discover refused to participate in Baldwin Hills' defense of the Melhado action and refused to indemnify Baldwin Hills for the settlement in that action.
- 21. Because of Discover's conduct, Fireman's Fund was required to defend and indemnify Baldwin Hills, and thereby incurred costs in defending and settling the Melhado action.
- 22. Discover is solely responsible for those costs of defense of the Melhado action that were paid by Fireman's Fund and for the settlement of the *Melhado* action.

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Equitable Contribution)

- 23. Fireman's Fund realleges Paragraphs 1 through 17 of this complaint, inclusive and incorporate those paragraphs by reference as though fully set forth in this claim for relief.
- This claim for equitable contribution is pleaded in the alternative to the claim for 24. equitable subrogation.
- Fireman's Fund and Discover provide coverage each as a proportionate share for 25. the liability of Baldwin Hills in the *Melhado* action.
- 26. As co-insurers, the cost of defending Baldwin Hills against the Melhado action and the cost of settling the *Melhado* action should be borne by each insurer proportionately.
- 27. Discover should be required to reimburse Fireman's Fund for its proportionate share of the Melhado settlement and its proportionate share of the defense fees and costs expended by Fireman's Fund in the Melhado action.

{60013.601161 0125438.DOC}

9

16

14

19

26

28

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Indemnity)

- 28. Fireman's Fund realleges Paragraphs 1 through 17 of this complaint, inclusive and incorporates those paragraphs by reference as though fully set forth in this claim for relief.
- 29. Discover has and had an obligation to defend and indemnify Baldwin Hills in the *Melhado* action.
  - 30. Discover failed to defend and indemnify Baldwin Hills in the *Melhado* action.
- 31. Because of Discover's conduct, Fireman's Fund was required to defend and indemnify Baldwin Hills in the *Melhado* action.
- 32. Fireman's Fund paid \$700,000 to settle the *Melhado* action and paid additional sums to defend Baldwin Hills in that action.

#### **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

#### (Declaratory Relief)

- 33. Fireman's Fund realleges Paragraphs 1 through 17 of this complaint, inclusive and incorporates those paragraphs by reference as though fully set forth in this claim for relief.
- 34. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between Fireman's Fund on the one hand and Discover on the other hand regarding whether Discover had and has any duties to defend Baldwin Hills and indemnify Baldwin Hills for all or any portion of the settlement in the *Melhado* action. Specifically, and without limitation, Fireman's Fund contends that Discover had a duty to defend and indemnify Baldwin Hills in the *Melhado* action, and that Discover's defense and indemnity obligations arise out of the Discover policy, the certificate of insurance and the Lease between Baldwin Hills and Esowon. Discover disputes these contentions and contends that it had and has no obligation to defend and indemnify Baldwin Hills in the *Melhado* action.
- 35. Fireman's Fund therefore seeks a judicial determination of the parties' respective rights and obligations under the Fireman's Fund policy, under the Discover policy and certificate of insurance, and under the Lease between Baldwin Hills and Esowon.
- 36. Fireman's Fund seeks a judicial determination that Discover has a duty to pay all of the defense fees and costs paid by Fireman's Fund in the *Melhado* action and that Discover has a

{60013.601161 0125438.DOC}

COMPLAINT CASE NO:

duty to pay the \$700,000 settlement in the Melhado action. Alternatively, Fireman's Fund seeks a judicial determination that Discover has a duty to pay its proportionate share of the defense fees and costs in the Melhado action and that Discover has a duty to pay its proportionate share of the \$700,000 Melhado settlement.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Fireman's Fund demands judgment against Discover as follows:

Document 1

- On the First Claim for Relief: 1.
  - For the entire cost of settling the Melhado action, in the amount of (a) \$700,000;
  - For the cost of defending Baldwin Hills in the Melhado action according to (b) proof;
  - (c) For interest, at a legal rate, on the amounts expended by Fireman's Fund in defending and settling the Melhado action;
  - (d) For costs of suit; and
  - For other relief that the Court deems proper. (e)
- 2. On the Second Claim for Relief:
  - For Discover's proportionate share of the settlement in the Melhado action (a) according to proof;
  - (b) For Discover's proportionate share of the costs of defending Baldwin Hills in the Melhado action according to proof;
  - (c) For interest, at a legal rate, on the amounts Discover owes Fireman's Fund for defending and settling the *Melhado* action;
  - (d) For costs of suit; and
  - For other relief that the Court deems proper. (e)
- 3. On the Third Claim for Relief:
  - For the entire cost of settling the Melhado action in the amount of (a) \$700,000;
  - For the cost of defending Baldwin Hills in the Melhado action according to (b)

{60013.601161 0125438.DOC}



27 28

|                          | 1  |                           | proof;   |
|--------------------------|----|---------------------------|--|
|                          | 2  | (c)                       | For interest, at the legal rate, on the amounts expended by Fireman's Fund |
|                          | 3  |                           | in defending and settling the Melhado action;                              |
|                          | 4  | (d)                       | For costs of suit; and   |
|                          | 5  | (e)                       | For other relief that the Court deems proper.                              |
|                          | 6  | 4. On th                  | e Fourth Claim for Relief:   |
|                          | 7  | (a)                       | For a judicial declaration of the respective rights and obligations of     |
|                          | 8  |                           | Fireman's Fund and Discover under the Fireman's Fund policy, the           |
|                          | 9  |                           | Discover policy and certificate of insurance and the Lease, including a    |
|                          | 10 |                           | declaration that Discover had an obligation to defend and indemnify        |
|                          | 11 |                           | Baldwin Hills with respect to the Melhado action.                          |
|                          | 12 | (b)                       | For costs of suit; and   |
|                          | 13 | (c)                       | For other relief that the Court deems proper.                              |
|                          | 14 |                           |  |
|                          | 15 | DATED: June, 2            | 006 KENNEY & MARKOWITZ L.L.P   |
|                          | 16 |                           | D  |
|                          | 17 |                           | By:  DAVID W. GORDON  ELIZABETH L. DOLTER                                  |
|                          | 18 |                           | ELIZABETH L. DOLTER Attorneys for Plaintiff FIREMAN'S FUND INSURANCE       |
|                          | 19 |                           | COMPANY  |
|                          | 20 |                           |  |
|                          | 21 |                           |  |
|                          | 22 |                           |  |
|                          | 23 |                           |  |
|                          | 24 |                           |  |
|                          | 25 |                           |  |
|                          | 26 |                           |  |
| Kenney<br>&<br>1arkowitz | 27 |                           |  |
| L.L.P.                   | 28 |                           |  |
|                          |    | {60013.601161 0125438.DOC | COMPLAINT  |
|                          |    |                           | CASE NO:   |

Markowitz L.L.P.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Fireman's Fund hereby demands a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. DATED: June **25**, 2006 **KENNEY & MARKOWITZ L.L.P** Attorneys for Plaintiff FIREMAN'S FUND INSURANCE **COMPANY** {60013.601161 0125438.DOC} COMPLAINT

CASE NO: